

①

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1528 /2005

M.A. Hanfi,
S/o- Late Dost Ali,
Aged about 59 years,
Presently working as Godown Keeper in Laghu Van Upaj,
Division-Korea, Baikunthpur,
Distt. Korea,
Chhattisgarh.

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. State of Chattisgarh,
Through-Secretary,
Deptt. of Forest,
D.K.S. Bhawan Mantralaya,
Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Conservator of Forest,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh..
3. Divisional Forest Officer,
Division- Korea,
Baikunthpur, District- Korea,
Chhattisgarh.

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF
APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS,
CERTIORARI ETC.

P.R. No. 1502/05
Presented by Shri. Pankaj Singh
dated. 07-07-05

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1528/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

21

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>25.4.2005</u> Mr. Pankaj Shrivatsava, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1 to 3. Heard. The petitioner's petition is that in the year 1969 he was appointed as Godown Keeper along with 26 other persons in Laghu Van Upaj. However, in the year 1972 the Laghu Van Upaj was abolished, and the post of Godown Keeper was merged in the post of Forest Guard, as the pay scale of Forest Guard was same of the Godown Keeper. Further case of the petitioner is that on abolition of the Laghu Van Upaj other persons who were appointed as Godown Keeper along with the petitioner have been merged in the post of Forest Guard but the petitioner's services have not been merged and the petitioner has been forced to continue on the post of Godown Keeper. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as there is no post of Godown Keeper is available in the forest department, therefore, the respondents are taking work from the petitioner in the Wireless department, whereas the petitioner is entitled for the same benefits which have been given by the respondents to the similarly situated persons. Ultimately, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide his representation, to this prayer learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.	

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation along with copy of this petition within a period of ten days from today to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.2963/05 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge